

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/082,593	02/22/2002	Akira Hamamatsu	16869P-041800US	5740	
20350 TOWNSEND	7590 02/12/200 AND TOWNSEND AN	EXAMINER			
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			TRINH, HOA B		
EIGHTH FLO	ISCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2814		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/082,593	HAMAMATSU ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Vikki H. Trinh	2814		

	Vikki H. Trinh	2814			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress		
THE REPLY FILED 16 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the contract of the	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as		
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	diance with 27 CER 41 27 must be	filed within two month	ha af tha data af		
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A biter in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	. will not be entered b	ecause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	` ,		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		il be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-2, 4-8, 10, 14-15</u> .	· .	•			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nge because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).		A.	W		
		PRIMARY E			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: Newly amendment claims include new matter. In particular, claim 1, lines 9-17, raises new matter because the matter is not being described in the original specification. Applicants have attempted to cite the matter in the original specification, but the citation fails to fully describe the matter. Furthermore, page 6 only has 25 lines. Applicants appear to possess a different version of the original specification when applicants cite page 6, lines 32-35, in the remarks. Moreover, page 6, lines 19-20 do not include the limitation of the new matter.